1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7		
8	AMRISH RAJAGOPALAN, on behalf of	
9	himself and all others similarly situated,	CASE NO. C11-5574 BHS
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO LIFT STAY
11	V.	
12	NOTEWORLD, LLC,	
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff Amrish Rajagopalan's ("Rajagopalan") motion to lift stay (Dkt 49). On March 6, 2012, the Court denied Defendant NoteWorld, LLC's ("NoteWorld") motion to dismiss and compel arbitration. Dkt. 33. On March 19, 2012, NoteWorld filed a notice of appeal of that order. Dkt. 34. On June 11, 2012, the Court stayed this action	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	pending determination of NoteWorld's appeal. Dkt. 48.	
21	On August 23, 2012, Rajagopalan filed a motion to lift the stay based on newly	
22	discovered evidence. Dkt. 49. On August 31,	2012, NoteWorld responded. Dkt. 51. On

1	September 7, 2012, Rajagopalan replied. Dkt. 52. On October 10, 2012, NoteWorld	
2	filed notice of additional authority. Dkt. 53.	
3	In the Ninth Circuit, entry of a stay pending an appeal of an order to deny a motion	
4	to compel arbitration is discretionary. See Britton v. Co-op Banking Group, 916 F.2d	
5	1405, 1412 (9th Cir. 1990).	
6	The Court has considered the parties' briefs and Rajagopalan's additional evidence	
7	and finds that a stay should and will remain in effect. Therefore, the Court DENIES	
8	Rajagopalan's motion to lift the stay (Dkt. 49).	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated this 25 th day of October, 2012.	
11	(10	
12	Dy South	
13	BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		